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ABSTRACT

The International Caries Detection and Assessment System (ICDAS) is a clinical scoring system which allows detection and assessment of caries activity. ICDAS was developed for use in clinical research, clinical practice and for epidemiological purposes. A recent review of caries detection criteria systems found that there were inconsistencies among the research criteria for caries measuring systems. There is a need to an uniform system which allows comparison of data collected in different researches. ICDAS allows detection of caries process at every stage and characterization of the caries activity status of lesion. Later, the criteria were modified and ICDAS II created. The aim of this review is to inform about the ICDAS II and make a comparison between ICDAS II criteria and other caries detection systems.
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ÖZ

ICDAS diş hekimliği eğitiminde, klinik uygulamalarda, araştırmalarda ve epidemiyolojik çalışmalarda kullanılan ve caries aktivitesi tespit edebilen klinik bir skorlama sistemidir. ICDAS diş çürüğünün saptanmasıyla ilgili tüm kriterler gözden geçirildiğinde, çürüğün derecesini belirlediği ölçümler için olan araştırma kriterleri arasında oldukça tutarsızlıklar olduğu fark edilmiştir. Difer farklı caries ölçüm teknikleri ve denetim siste, bu çürüğün konusuna tek ve düzenli bir sistem ihtiyaç duymaktadır. ICDAS kriterleri diş sert dokusundaki çırık hangi seviyede olursa olsun net olarak tespit edebilmektedir ve çırık zaman içerisindeki değişikliklerini (aktivitesini) izleyebilmektedir. Bir süre sonra bu kriterlerin geliştirilmesi ile ICDAS II sistemi belirlenmiştir. Bu derlemenin amacı ICDAS II kriterlerini tanıttım ve bu kriterleri var olan diğer sistemlerle karşılaştırılmaktır.
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Introduction

Description of ICDAS

ICDAS is a clinical scoring system that is used to detect and assess dental caries. It is generated to be used in dental education, clinical applications, researches and epidemiological studies (1-5). This scoring system can be used on curonal surfaces and root surfaces and can be applied for enamel caries, dentine caries, non-cavitated lesions (contrary to many systems) and cavitated lesions to detect and assess these lesions (2, 4, 6). The aim of planning ICDAS is to gain better quality information to make decisions about appropriate diagnosis, prognosis and clinical management of dental caries at both the individual and public health levels. Thus, ICDAS can provide a worksheet that can allow management of caries which is needed to achieve longterm healthful results (5, 7).

Development of ICDAS

A systematic review of all literatures on clinical caries detection found that there were inconsistencies in how the caries process was measured and among the research criteria for measuring dental caries. The future of research, practice, and education in cariology requires to eliminate these inconsistencies. Therefore, it was decided that there is a need to develop an uniform system for measuring the caries process. An integrated and uniform system that can be developed by combining the evidences recieved from all studies can provide comparability of the caries data obtained from different studies. So, the current situation of the disease can be assessed effectively and the most appropriate diagnosis, prognosis and treatment can be decided (8-11). Moreover, important treatment options can be developed as a result of these accurate assessments (9, 11). As mentioned above, a systematic review of literatures found that there were inconsistencies among the research criteria. In addition, systematic review of literatures showed that present systems can be applied only for cavitated lesions (12, 13). However, recording only cavitated lesions as an outcome measure is becoming outmoded (12, 14). When these lesions can be detected at an early stage, they can be controlled by preventive treatments. Thus, cost of treatment will decrease (13-17). New and integrated system should lead to broader information. So, caries can be detected at each stage, activity of them can be monitored and the effect of an material on the lesion can be determined clearly (11). As a result of all these necessities, there is a need to address the answers to the following questions. “What stage of the caries process should be measured?”, “what are the definitions for each selected stage?” “what is the best clinical approach to detect each stage on different tooth surfaces?” and “what protocols of examiners’ training can provide the highest degree of examiner reliability?” These were the initial questions that initiated the discussion of the ICDAS (18, 19). Initial step of this process was the first convention that was done in Scotland in 2002. In this convention, problems of the current systems and properties that the new system should have were identified. A second session was done in Michigan to develop criteria of the integrated system that was identified in the first session. In the end of the session, ICDAS criteria (ICDAS I) was formed. These criteria are divided into two groups: caries detection criteria and caries activity criteria. The committee reported that while ICDAS caries detection criteria are ready to wider use, caries activity criteria are still part of an expanding research agenda. A third meeting was held in Indiana in 2003 to evaluate mentioned criteria and closely a forth convention was done in Denmark. Thus, the ICDAS II workshop was held in 2005 to share the progress in the ICDAS criteria and seek the input of a wider international expertise. The invitations were mailed to over 60 researchers and those who accepted the invitation convened to revise and agree on the ICDAS II version of the criteria. While caries detection criteria of ICDAS I was modified and accepted entirely, activity criteria was developed more. It’s reported that ICDAS activity criteria should be still part of an expanding research agenda (4, 19).

ICDAS Criteria

Since dental caries is a dynamic process, categorization of them is hard. The process is continuous and could be measured as stages representing minute loss of tooth structure that is currently not detectable using current technology available for in vivo use. Therefore; we rely on visual signs that reflect caries process relatively (18, 19). ICDAS measures the surface changes and potential histological depth of carious lesions by relying on surface characteristics (18-20). ICDAS criteria are divided into two categories: coronal primary caries...
and root caries. Caries detection coding and caries activity coding should be done separately. ICDAS II system have two digit coding for detection criteria of primary coronal caries. The first one is related to the restoration of teeth and has a coding that ranges from 0 to 9. This coding is presented in Table 1 (18, 19).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. The suggested restoration / sealant coding system of ICDAS II (18, 19).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The second digit ranges from 0 to 6 that is used for coding the caries. There are minor variations between the visual signs associated with each code depending on a number of factors. Therefore; a detailed description of each of the codes is given under the following headings: Pits and fissures, smooth surface (mesial or distal), free smooth surfaces and caries associated with restorations and sealants (CARS). However, the basis of the codes is essentially the same that is presented in Table 2 (21). Smooth surfaces describe mesial and distal surfaces that have contact with adjacent teeth and requires visual inspection from the occlusal, buccal and lingual directions. Free smooth surfaces describe buccal and lingual surfaces and mesial and distal surfaces that have no adjacent teeth and requires direct examination of buccal, lingual, mesial and distal surfaces (18, 19). CARS (Caries Associated with Restorations and Sealants) describe caries adjacent to the restorations or sealants. The description of the new caries that occurs in restored teeth is so different in the literature (secondary caries, residual caries, recurrent caries). It’s recommended that the term of CARS should be used to prevent complication. Although CARS is analogous with primary caries histologically, some characteristics of them can lead to diagnostic problems. Main problem is the difficulties in differentiation among restoration margin discrepancies, secondary caries and residual caries. If there is only margin discrepancies, it is also important to record them since these discrepancies show increased caries risk. However, it is important to have a threshold at which the deficiency is recorded as present or absent. Two categories could be recorded according to whether or not a ball-ended probe can be admitted into gap between tooth and restoration. If marginal discrepancies and caries are seen together, caries should be coded firstly (19, 22). Detailed coding of all mentioned headings is presented in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Description of the second digit that is used for coding the coronal primary caries (18, 21).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Detecting caries lesion is important. However; it only represents part of diagnostic process necessary to properly assess the caries disease status (24). ICDAS criteria can predict progress rate of caries. This situation can provide high quality information that can be used to determine prognosis and treatment (25). Assessment of caries activity can prove to be best way to determine caries risk status and identify patients who require intensive preventive intervention (26). Identifying teeth with passive caries can change the decision of treatment. Moreover, identifying subjects that have teeth with active disease for studies designed to test treatments intended to arrest or reverse caries (27). Nyvad criteria which is designated in 1999 combine severity scoring with lesion activity assessment. ICDAS caries activity assessment are largely based on the Nyvad criteria.
However, ICDAS differs in a number of ways from the Nyvad criteria. The comparison of Nyvad and ICDAS criteria is presented in Table 4 (28). Activity criteria of coronal primary caries are presented in Table 5. Actually, differentiating between active and inactive caries lesions is difficult. Since a certain measure of uncertainty must be expected and the clinician should have an ability to detect changes in enamel that can be seen hardly. There is also the possibility of lesions being in a transitional stage, either going from active to inactive or inactive to active. The future holds promise for the development of clinically useful tools to assist dentists and researchers in making decisions about activity status of caries lesions (18, 19).

### Table 3. Detailed coding of all mentioned headings (18, 19, 23).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Smallsurface</th>
<th>Smooth surface</th>
<th>Fits and fissures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="https://via.placeholder.com/150" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="https://via.placeholder.com/150" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="https://via.placeholder.com/150" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Small surface

- Sound tooth surface-0: There should be no change in enamel translucency after 5 seconds air drying.
- First visual change in enamel-1:
  - When seen wet there is no evidence of any change in color but after 5 seconds air drying a carious opacity is visible that is not consistent with the clinical appearance of sound enamel and is seen from the buccal or lingual surface.
  - Distinct visual change in enamel-2:
    - When there is a carious opacity and/or brown carious discoloration which is wider than fissure (the lesion is still visible when dry). This lesion appears as a shadow of demineralized dentin visible through an apparently intact enamel surface which may or may not show signs of localized breakdown.
  - Underlying dark shadow from dentin with or without enamel breakdown-3:
    - This lesion appears as a shadow of demineralized dentin visible through an apparently intact enamel surface which may or may not show signs of localized breakdown.
- The darkened area may appear as grey, blue or brown in color and is seen more easily when the tooth is wet.
- Distinct cavity with visible dentin-3:
- Cavitation in opaque or discolored enamel exposing the dentin beneath.
- Extensive distinct cavity with visible dentin-6:
- The cavity is deep and wide and dentin is clearly visible.

#### Smooth surface

- Sound tooth surface-0: There should be no change in enamel translucency after 5 seconds air drying.
- First visual change in enamel-1:
  - When seen wet there is no evidence of any change in color but after air drying a carious opacity is visible that is not consistent with the clinical appearance of sound enamel.
  - Distinct visual change in enamel-2:
    - When wet there is a carious opacity and/or brown carious discoloration which is wider than fissure.
    - Once dried for approximately 5 seconds there is a carious loss of tooth structure at the entrance to, or within, the pit or fissure/suzaa but dentin is not visible in the walls or base of the discontinuity.
    - Underlying dark shadow from dentin with or without enamel breakdown-4:
      - This lesion appears as a shadow of demineralized dentin visible through an apparently intact enamel surface which may or may not show signs of localized breakdown.
  - The darkened area may appear as grey, blue or brown in color and is seen more easily when the tooth is wet.
- Distinct cavity with visible dentin-3:
- Cavitation in opaque or discolored enamel with exposed dentin.
- Extensive distinct cavity with visible dentin-6:
- Obvious loss of tooth structure, extensive cavity may be deep or wide and dentin is clearly visible on both walls and at the base. The marginal ridge may or may not be present.

#### Fits and fissures

- Sound tooth surface-0: There should be no change in enamel translucency after 5 seconds air drying.
- First visual change in enamel-1:
  - When seen wet there is no evidence of any change in color but after air drying a carious opacity is visible that is not consistent with the clinical appearance of sound enamel.
  - Distinct visual change in enamel when viewed wet-2:
    - When wet there is a carious opacity and/or brown carious discoloration that is wider than a fissure. This lesion appears as a shadow of demineralized dentin visible through an apparently intact marginal ridge.
  - Initial enamel breakdown due to caries with no visible dentin-3:
    - Once dried for approximately 5 seconds there is a distinct loss of translucency from enamel viewed from the buccal or lingual direction.
  - Underlying dark shadow from dentin with or without enamel breakdown-4:
    - This lesion appears as a shadow of demineralized dentin visible through an apparently intact marginal ridge. When viewed from the buccal or lingual direction.
- The lesion is located in close proximity of the gingival margin.
- When wet there is a carious opacity and/or brown carious discoloration and the lesion is still visible when dry.
- Extensive cavitation at the margin of the restoration/sealant less than 0.5mm, in addition to either an opacity or discoloration consistent with demineralisation.
- The shadow appears as a shadow of demineralized dentin which may or may not show signs of localized breakdown.
- This shadow may appear as grey, blue or brown in color and is often seen more easily when teeth is wet.
- Distinct cavity with visible dentin-3:
- Cavitation in opaque or discolored enamel with exposed dentin.
- Extensive distinct cavity with visible dentin-6:
- Obvious loss of tooth structure, extensive cavity may be deep or wide and dentin is clearly visible on both walls and at the base. The marginal ridge may or may not be present.

#### ICDAS Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CARs</th>
<th>Small Surface</th>
<th>Smooth Surface</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="https://via.placeholder.com/150" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="https://via.placeholder.com/150" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="https://via.placeholder.com/150" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### CARs

- Sound tooth surface with restoration or sealant-0:
- A sound tooth surface adjacent to a restoration/sealant margin. There should be no evidence of caries.
- First visual change in enamel-1:
  - When seen wet there is no evidence of any change in color but after air drying a carious opacity is visible that is not consistent with the clinical appearance of sound enamel.
  - Distinct visual change in enamel when viewed wet-2:
    - When wet there is a carious opacity and/or brown carious discoloration and the lesion is still visible when dry.
  - There should be no change in enamel translucency after 5 seconds air drying.
  - Extensive cavitation at the margin of the restoration/sealant less than 0.5mm, in addition to either an opacity or discoloration consistent with demineralisation.
- Marginal caries in enamel/dentin/enamel margin with underlying dark shadow from dentin-4:
- The lesion is located in close proximity of the gingival margin.
- When wet there is a carious opacity and/or brown carious discoloration and the lesion is still visible when dry.
- Extensive cavitation at the margin of the restoration/sealant less than 0.5mm, in addition to either an opacity or discoloration consistent with demineralisation.
- When seen wet there is no evidence of any change in color but after air drying a carious opacity is visible that is not consistent with the clinical appearance of sound enamel.
- Distinct visual change in enamel-2:
  - When there is a carious opacity and/or brown carious discoloration which is wider than fissure (the lesion is still visible when dry)
  - Underlying dark shadow from dentin with or without enamel breakdown-4:
    - This lesion appears as a shadow of demineralized dentin visible through an apparently intact enamel surface which may or may not show signs of localized breakdown.
- The darkened area may appear as grey, blue or brown in color and is seen more easily when the tooth is wet.
- Distinct cavity with visible dentin-3:
- Cavitation in opaque or discolored enamel with exposed dentin.
- Extensive distinct cavity with visible dentin-6:
- Obvious loss of tooth structure, extensive cavity may be deep or wide and dentin is clearly visible on both walls and at the base. The marginal ridge may or may not be present.

### Nyvad criteria

- Detailed coding of all mentioned headings (18, 19, 23).
- Table 3.
- Actually, differentiating between active and inactive caries lesions is difficult. Since a certain measure of uncertainty must be expected and the clinician should have an ability to detect changes in enamel that can be seen hardly. There is also the possibility of lesions being in a transitional stage, either going from active to inactive or inactive to active. The future holds promise for the development of clinically useful tools to assist dentists and researchers in making decisions about activity status of caries lesions (18, 19).
Table 4. The comparison of Nyvad and ICDAS criteria (19, 28).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nyvad criteria</th>
<th>ICDAS criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lesion severity and activity are determined as one score.</td>
<td>Severity score and activity assessment are provided as two separate scores.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>These criteria are applied to plaque-covered teeth.</td>
<td>These criteria are initiated on cleaned teeth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A sharp probe is used.</td>
<td>The use of a ball-ended probe is recommended.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Activity Criteria of coronal primary caries according to ICDAS II (18).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ICDAS code</th>
<th>Characteristics of Lesion</th>
<th>Active Lesion</th>
<th>Inactive Lesion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1, 2 or 3</td>
<td>Surface of enamel is whitish/yellowish opaque with loss of luster, feels rough when the tip of the probe is moved. Lesion is in a plaque stagnation area, i.e.: pits and fissures, near the gingival and approximal surface below the contact point.</td>
<td>Surface of enamel is whitish, brownish or black.</td>
<td>Enamel may be shiny and feels hard and smooth when the tip of the probe is moved. For smooth surfaces, caries lesion is typically located at some distance from the gingival margin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Probably active</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 or 6</td>
<td>Cavity feels soft or leathery on gently probing the dentin.</td>
<td>Cavity may be shiny and feels hard on gently probing the dentin.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The ICDAS I and II criteria incorporate concepts from the research conducted by Ekstrand et al. (1995). Ekstrand et al (1995) has correlated between the severity of carious lesions and their histological depth. White spot lesions that are seen after air-drying are limited to the outer ½ of enamel. The depth of a white or brown lesion that is seen without air-drying is located some place between the inner ½ of the enamel and the outer ½ of dentin. Localized enamel breakdown with no visible dentin indicates that the lesion extends to the middle ½ of dentin and a greyish, brownish or bluish shadow of dentin also indicates that the lesion extends to the middle ½ of dentin. Cavities with visible dentin extend to the inner ½ of dentin (19, 29). Researchers identified ICDAS I criteria in a meeting that was held in 2012. In that meeting, occlusal surfaces of fiftyseven extracted teeth were examined. Teeth were classified according to the ICDAS I and histological scoring of them were identified too. Thus, histological depth of caries of teeth that are classified clinically with code 3 is more than teeth that are classified clinically with code 4.
These data support the decision of the ICDAS II workshop to switch the original codes 3 and 4 (ICDAS I) to portray a sequential progression of dental caries (18, 19). Bakhshandeh et al. (2011) reported that there is a strong relationship between ICDAS scores and the real depth of the lesion that is identified histologically (30). Another study done in 2011 also found that there is a strong relationship between ICDAS criteria and histologic depth (31). Because of the strong relationship between ICDAS scores and histologic depth that was supported by previous studies, ICDAS II criteria was used as gold standard in a study in which laser fluorescence was evaluated in occlusal caries detection (32). Root caries detection criteria are divided into two groups according to the ICDAS II: root surfaces with restoration and root surfaces without restoration. However, the basis of the codes is essentially the same for both of groups and presented in Table 6 (18, 19).

**Table 6. Codes for the detection of carious lesions on root surfaces (18, 19).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>If the root surface cannot be visualized directly, then it is excluded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>The root surface does not exhibit any unusual discoloration that distinguishes it from the surrounding root areas nor does it exhibit a surface defect at the cementoenamel junction or root surface. The root surface has a natural anatomical contour.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>There is a demarcated area on the root surface or at the cementoenamel junction that is discoloured but there is no cavitation (loss of anatomical contour≥0.5mm) present.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>There is a demarcated area on the root surface or at the cementoenamel junction discoloured and there is cavitation (loss of anatomical contour≥0.5mm) present.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The characteristics of the discolored area on the root surface can be used to determine the activity status of the lesion. These characteristics are color, texture, appearance, perception on probing, presence of cavitation and location. These criteria that evaluate the activity of root caries are presented in Table 7 (18, 19).

**Table 7. Criteria for root caries activity assessment (18, 19).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Active lesions are yellowish or light brown in color whereas arrested lesions appear darkly stained.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Per. on probing</td>
<td>Active lesions have been described as soft or leathery compared to arrested lesions that have a hard texture.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appearance</td>
<td>While matte lesions are thought to be active, shiny lesions are thought to be passive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texture</td>
<td>Rough surfaces are thought to be active whereas smooth surfaces are thought to be arrested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cavitation</td>
<td>Noncavitated lesions have been described as active whereas cavitated lesions can be either active or arrested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Root caries that occur closely adjacent to the crest of the gingival are considered to be active whereas lesions that occur on the root surface more distant from the gingival crest are more likely to be arrested.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Honkala et al. (2011) reported that ICDAS criteria can give proper information for the presence of caries lesion (33). In another study that is done in 2010, three researchers examined 50 permanent teeth according to the ICDAS II criteria. Same teeth are examined 1 day, 1 week and 4 week after first examination by the same researchers again. Thus, the time span didn’t have any effect on the intra- and interexaminer reproducibility (34).

**The Comparison of ICDAS with Other Systems**

There are many studies which found that ICDAS and WHO criteria give similar results for detection of occlusal caries. Main advantage of ICDAS is the ability to evaluate noncavitated lesions. However, it also have a disadvantage of long application time when it is compared with WHO criteria (2, 23, 35). Nyvad and ICDAS II were compared for in vivo detection and assessment of occlusal caries of primary teeth in a study. Thus, both of the systems presented good reproducibility and validity to detect caries lesions and estimate their severities. There were strong relationship between two systems for detection and assessment of caries. However; ICDAS seems to overestimate the caries activity assessment of cavitated lesions compared to the Nyvad (36). In an another study done in 2009, occlusal caries of primary teeth were examined using Nyvad and ICDAS II criteria. The reliability and accuracy of two methods
for detection of caries were good. The relationship between two systems were strong. However, there were some differences between two systems when lesion activity was assessed. It is reported that as a reference method is not available, it is not possible to determine which assessed lesion activity more accurately (37). Diniz et al. (2009) examined occlusal surfaces of one hundred sixty-three teeth according to the ICDAS and three weeks later same teeth were assessed by the same researchers again according to the ICDAS criteria (38). Reproducibility was assessed by kappa and the results were compared with the results of the the similar systems that were used previous studies. The systems that were compared with ICDAS were eight point scoring system that were used by Ekstrand et al. in 1995 (29), five point scoring system that were used by Ekstrand et al. in 1997 (39) and four point scoring system that were used by Souza-Zaroni et al. in 2006 (40). Thus, ICDAS II has similar reproducibility for occlusal caries detection compared with other visual scoring systems that were used previous studies (38). In an another study, ICDAS were compared with different diagnostic tools in detecting occlusal caries. Two examiners evaluated 119 occlusal surfaces using LF (Laser fluorescence, DIAGNOdent 2095, KaVo, Germany), LFpen (Laser fluoresans, DIAGNOdent 2190, KaVo, Germany), FC (Fluorescence camera, VistaProof, Durr Dental, Germany), ICDAS II and BW (Bitewing radiography, HDX, Dental EZ, USA). Then, sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of the methods were compared. Each method has different characteristics and specific modes of functioning and thus varies in sensitivity and specificity. LFpen, FC and ICDAS have better sensitivity whereas LF and BW have better specificity. ICDAS II combined with BW showed the best performance for detecting occlusal caries (41). Diniz et al. (2011) reported that ICDAS showed better performance than bitewing radiography in detecting occlusal caries (42). When the studies that evaluated ICDAS II criteria for detecting approximal caries are examined, it is found that ICDAS II criteria are more successful in detecting approximal caries in vitro (43, 44). However, when these criteria are evaluated in vivo for detecting approximal caries, they are more unsuccessful (45, 46). The reason of this situation is the limitation of in vitro studies that is the difficulty in simulating contact areas of teeth. Can the good results of the in vitro studies be depended on the limitation of the in vitro studies? Or do ICDAS II criteria have really good performance for detection of approximal caries in vitro? Further studies are needed to make right desicion. In an study, approximal surfaces of 20 teeth were examined by two researcher to compare ICDAS II criteria with radiography in detecting caries. While sensitivity of ICDAS II is better, specificity of it is worse than radiography. Thus, they reported that ICDAS II should be preferred as caries diagnostic method in populations at high risk of caries whereas radiography should be preferred in population at low risk of caries (47). Ekstrand et al. (2011) revealed that ICDAS can detect and assess approximal caries that can be seen with intraoral examination more successful than radiography (48). The performance of different methods were compared in detecting approximal caries of primary teeth and influence of the discomfort reported by children on the performance of these methods was evaluated in a study done in 2010. Approximal surfaces of 592 primary molars were evaluated by two examiners using LFpen, ICDAS and radiography and patients were asked to assess discomfort. The results of visual inspection is better than other methods in detecting noncavitated lesions but worse than others in detecting cavitated lesions. Discomfort of patients during visual inspection and LFpen application affected the performance of these methods adversely (49). Gomez et al. (2013) compared different methods for the diagnosis of occlusal caries in vitro. ICDAS, digital photographs scored with ICDAS, fibre-optic transillumination (FOTI), optical coherence tomography (OCT), SoproLife® camera and quantitative light-induced fluorescence (QLF) system gave similar results for diagnosis of occlusal caries. However, examiners reported that visual examination can be more useful for detection and assessment of the depth of lesion in clinical applications (50). Mitropoulos et al. (2012) evaluated the impact of magnification on occlusal caries diagnosis with implementation of the ICDAS II criteria. They reported that ICDAS criteria has good reproducibility for detection of noncavitated lesions in clinical applications. However, they notified that magnification does not improve the detective performance of visual examination (14).

**Conclusion**

ICDAS criteria is a coding system that loom large in detecting and assessing dental caries recently. However, these criteria have some limitations too. It is believed that ICDAS criteria will complete their development with the studies that will be done in.
ICDAS II Criteria

Thus, it is thought that these criteria will be an uniform system that can detect and assess caries without any doubt.
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